


The surveillance programme for *Echinococcus multilocularis* in red foxes (*Vulpes Vulpes*) in Norway 2016



The surveillance programme for *Echinococcus multilocularis* in red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) in Norway 2016

Content

Summary	3
Introduction	3
Aims	3
Materials and methods	3
Results and Discussion	4
References	6

Authors

Knut Madslie, Charles Albin-Amiot, Malin E
Jonsson, Torill Clausen, Kristin Henriksen, Inger
Sofie Hamnes, Anne Margrete Urdahl, Berit
Tafjord Heier, Heidi L. Enemark

ISSN 1894-5678

© Norwegian Veterinary Institute 2017

Design Cover: Reine Linjer
Photo front page: Colourbox

Summary

Echinococcus multilocularis was not detected in any of the 575 red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) examined during the licensed hunting season in 2016.

Introduction

The dwarf fox tapeworm *Echinococcus multilocularis* is endemic in large parts of the northern hemisphere, including eastern and central parts of Europe (1, 2). During the past decades, prevalence of *E. multilocularis* in Europe has increased in the known endemic areas (3), and the geographic distribution has expanded to regions where the parasite was not previously detected (4). In 1999, *E. multilocularis* was detected for the first time in Denmark (5) and on the high-arctic Norwegian islands of Svalbard (6). Yet, prior to the detection of *E. multilocularis* in Sweden in February 2011 (7) there was no evidence of its presence in mainland Fennoscandia (8). Despite analyses for *E. multilocularis* of more than 5 000 foxes/fox scats since 2002 (9), the parasite has not been detected in mainland Norway so far.

Anthelmintic treatment of dogs, prior to import, is compulsory to prevent introduction of the parasite from endemic EU regions. However, according to the EU Directive 998/2003/EC on pet movement, the maintenance of this national regulation post 2008 requires documentation of an *E. multilocularis* - free status within Norway.

Aims

The aim of the programme is to document freedom of *E. multilocularis* in mainland Norway.

Materials and methods

Faecal samples collected from red foxes shot during the licensed hunting season in 2016 (i.e. January to mid-April and mid-July to late December) were included in the surveillance 2016.

All counties in Norway were represented in the sampling regime. Hunters who have provided samples previous years were invited to participate and additional hunters were recruited via our homepage. A standard form including information on where and when the fox was hunted, sex (male or female) and estimated age of the animal (juvenile or adult), was completed by each hunter.

In addition, faecal samples from wolves (*Canis lupus*) killed legally or illegally during 2016 were included in the 2016 surveillance.

The DNA-fishing method combined with realtime PCR detection, was used for the detection of *E. multilocularis* in the faecal samples. This involves magnetic capture DNA extraction from samples by applying specific DNA-hybridisation, followed by extraction using streptavidin coated magnetic beads and finally detection by realtime PCR (10, 11). The DNA-fishing method is capable of detecting *E. multilocularis* DNA from adult worms as well as eggs. These methods are targeted for use during the patent phase of the infection when DNA from the eggs is shed in the faeces. This period constitutes roughly two-thirds of the entire infection period. The combination of these methods is more sensitive than the previously used method: egg isolation by sieving followed by detection of parasite DNA using a multiplex PCR (10, 11). Validation of the current methods in our laboratory has demonstrated a sensitivity around 1 egg per 3 g of faeces (Heidi Enemark, personal communication).

A total of 575 samples were analysed individually (3 g faeces per sample). Realtime PCR detection was performed in duplicate. We assumed a test sensitivity of 63 % (10) and a fox population of 151.000 (Olav Hjeljord, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, personal communication). However, the true test sensitivity is probably higher and most likely close to the Swedish method (88% test sensitivity) (10,

12). The apparent prevalence and corresponding confidence interval were estimated using the function `epi.prev` in package `epiR` performed in R version 2.6.2 (13). The conservative 63% sensitivity and a specificity of 1 were used for calculating the apparent prevalence.

Results and Discussion

Approximately 600 samples were collected in 2016 of which 575 were suitable for examination (Figure 1, Table 1). In addition, 8 samples from wolves (*Canis lupus*) were analysed. All samples were negative for *E. multilocularis* giving an estimated apparent prevalence of 0% (0 - 0.7%, 95% confidence interval).




Figure 1. Map of Norway showing numbers and hunting municipality of red foxes sampled and examined for *Echinococcus multilocularis* during the red fox licensed hunting period in 2016

Table 1. Number and county of red foxes and wolves sampled and examined for *Echinococcus multilocularis* in Norway during the red fox licensed hunting season in 2016 (January to mid-April and mid-July to late December) and corresponding numbers for the period 2002 - 2016.

County	Number of red foxes tested		Other species tested 2016
	2016	Total 2002-2016	
Østfold	101	629	
Akershus	48	579	
Oslo	36	130	
Hedmark	87	830	4 wolves
Oppland	38	343	2 wolves
Buskerud	38	207	
Vestfold	11	70	
Telemark	23	202	
Aust-Agder	5	94	
Vest-Agder	1	66	1 wolf
Rogaland	3	90	
Hordaland	34	183	
Sogn og Fjordane	12	230	
Møre og Romsdal	6	125	
Sør-Trøndelag	17	366	
Nord-Trøndelag	39	358	1 wolf
Nordland	21	143	
Troms	54	261	
Finnmark	1	131	
Total	575	5 037	8 wolves

No faecal samples collected from Norwegian foxes in 2016 as part of the surveillance programme were positive by PCR for *E. multilocularis*, which is in agreement with results from previous years. According to requirements of Regulation (EU) No 1152/2011, Annex II, the pathogen-specific surveillance programme must be designed to detect a prevalence of $\leq 1\%$ at confidence level of at least 95%.

The number of samples collected in Norway in 2016 was sufficient to document a current prevalence of *E. multilocularis* below 1%. However, increasing prevalence in nearby regions has increased the risk of introduction of the parasite to Norway. In Sweden, *E. multilocularis* has now been found in four different regions (9), and surveillance in Denmark has recently demonstrated its prevalence in a new region in Denmark (14). Furthermore, studies have shown the parasite to be wider distributed in the Baltics than previously anticipated leading to increasing numbers of alveolar echinococcosis in humans (15).

This is worrisome since Norwegian studies have exposed lack of compliance with the anthelmintic treatment requirements for pets entering the country after having visited endemic areas (16, 17). Consequently, an annual surveillance programme is necessary to document a continuous disease free status. Our findings support the maintenance of the national regulation for compulsory anthelmintic treatment of imported dogs to minimise the risk of *E. multilocularis* introduction to Norway.

References

1. Schweiger A, Ammann RW, Candinas D, Clavien PA, Eckert J, Gottstein B, Halkic N, Meullhaupt B, Prinz BM, Reichen J, Tarr PE, Torgerson PR, Deplazes P. Human alveolar echinococcosis after fox population increase, Switzerland. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2007; 13: 878-882.
2. Eckert J, Deplazes P. Biological, epidemiological, and clinical aspects of echinococcosis, a zoonosis of increasing concern. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2004; 17: 107-135.
3. Romig T, Dinkel A, Mackenstedt U. The present situation of echinococcosis in Europe. *Parasitol Int.* 2006;55(Suppl):S187-91 10.1016/j.parint.2005.11.028
4. Combes B, Comte S, Raton V, Raoul F, Boue F, Umhang G, Favier S, Dunoyer C, Woronoff N, Giraudoux P. Westward spread of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in foxes, France, 2005-2010. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2012, 18:2059-2062.
5. Kapel CMO, Saeed I. *Echinococcus multilocularis* - en ny zoonotisk parasit i Danmark. *Dan Veterinaertidskr* 2000; 83: 14-16.
6. Dahlberg T, Evans R, Slettbakk T, Ottesen P, Blystad H. *Echinococcus multilocularis* påvist på Svalbard. MSIS-rapport 2000; 28: 23.
7. Osterman LE, Juremalm M, Christensson D, Widgren S, Hallgren G, Ågren EO, Uhlhorn H, Lindberg A, Cedersmyg M, Wahlström H. First detection of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in Sweden, February to March 2011. *Euro Surveill.* 2011;16(14):pii=19836.
8. Wahlström H, Isomursu M, Hallgren G, Christensson D, Cedersmyg M, Wallensten A, Hjertqvist M, Davidson RK, Uhlhorn H, Hopp P. Combining information from surveys of several species to estimate the probability of freedom from *Echinococcus multilocularis* in Sweden, Finland and mainland Norway. *Acta Vet Scand* 2011, Feb 11;53:9.
9. Wahlström H, Enemark HL, Davidson RK, Oksanen A. Present status, actions taken and future considerations due to the findings of *E. multilocularis* in two Scandinavian countries. *Vet Parasitol* 2015, 213:178-181.
10. Øines Ø, Isaksson M, Hagström Å, Tavoranpanich S and Davidson RK. Laboratory assessment of sensitive molecular tools for detection of low levels of *Echinococcus multilocularis*-eggs in fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) faeces. *Parasites & Vectors* 2014, 7:246.
11. Isaksson M, Hagström Å, Armua-Fernandez MT, Wahlström H, Ågren EO, Miller A, Holmberg A, Lukacs M, Casulli A, Deplazes P and Juremalm M. A semi-automated magnetic capture probe based DNA extraction and real-time PCR method applied in the Swedish surveillance of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) faecal samples. *Parasites & Vectors* 2014, 7:583.
12. Davidson RK, Øines Ø, Madslie K, Mathis A. *Echinococcus multilocularis* - adaptation of a worm egg isolation procedure coupled with a multiplex PCR assay to carry out large scale screening of red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) in Norway. *Parasitol Res* 2009; 104 (3): 509-514.
13. R development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing 2008
<http://www.R-project.org>
14. Enemark HL, Al-Sabi MN, Knapp J, Staahl M, Chriel M. Detection of a high-endemic focus of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in red foxes in southern Denmark, January 2013. *Euro Surveill.* 2013;18(10):pii=20420.
15. Marcinkutė A, Šarkunas M, Moks E, Saarma U, Jokelainen P, Bagrade G, Laivacuma S, Strupas K, Sokolovas V, Deplazes P. *Echinococcus* infections in the Baltic region. *Vet Parasitol* 2015, 213: 121-131.
16. Davidson RK, Robertson LJ. European pet travel: misleading information from veterinarians and government agencies. *Zoonoses Public Health* 2012, 59: 575-583.
17. Hamnes IS, Klevar S, Davidson RK, Høgåsen HR, Lund A. Parasitological and serological investigation of samples from stray dogs imported into Norway from Eastern European countries [in Norwegian]. In Norwegian Veterinary Institute's Report Series: report 15 (Norwegian Veterinary Institute), p 20.


*Scientifically ambitious, forward-looking and cooperatively oriented
– for integrated health*

The Norwegian Veterinary Institute is a national research institute that operates in the fields of animal and fish health, food safety and feed hygiene; its primary task is to provide the authorities with independently generated knowledge.

Emergency preparedness, diagnostic services, monitoring, reference functions, consulting, and risk assessments are all important areas of activity. Our products and services include research results and reports, analyses and diagnoses, studies and advice.

The Norwegian Veterinary Institute's central laboratory and administration lie in Oslo, and we operate regional laboratories in Sandnes, Bergen, Trondheim, Harstad and Tromsø.

The Norwegian Veterinary Institute collaborates with a large number of national and international institutions.



Fish health



Animal health



Food safety



Oslo
postmottak@vetinst.no

Trondheim
vit@vetinst.no

Sandnes
vis@vetinst.no

Bergen
post.vib@vetinst.no

Harstad
vih@vetinst.no

Tromsø
vitr@vetinst.no

www.vetinst.no



Veterinærinstituttet
Norwegian Veterinary Institute